KPIJ.



16 Sept' 20

Impact Analysis of Faults in an EV

| <u> </u> | |
|----------|--|

Aseem Routray CTO Organization KPIT Technologies Limited



1

EV Model



KPI1.

Framework:



KPIT.



KPIT.

Battery pack(Exploded View)





K PI T

Base Libraries

Test Case: (Vehicle tested for Delhi Drive Cycle)

The rotor speed is seen to track the drive cycle reference



Case: Motor Air Cooling model Validation



• When tested with available drive data, natural air cooling models were used to depict the convergence and a maximum tolerance of <u>9 K</u> was observed in the motor temperature data generated by simulation.

KPIT.

Case: Battery Liquid Cooling Model Validation



- When validated with available test data, the convergence was seen and a tolerance of <u>1 K</u> was observed in the battery temperature data generated by simulation.
- A battery module was used for the experiment.

KPIT.

Case: HVAC(Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning) Model Validation



• Validated with available data, convergence was seen with a tolerance of <u>3.5 K</u> was observed in the cabin temperature data generated by simulation.

Fault Injection

Motor Faults

- Phase to Ground Short
- Double Phase Short Circuit
- Double Phase to Ground
- Three Phase Short Circuit
- Three Phase to Ground
- Single Phase Open Fault
- Single Phasing followed by another open Phase

Inverter Faults

- Open Circuit in one IGBT
- Open circuit in two IGBTs of same/different leg
- IGBT Short circuit fault
- Jitter Fault in one/ both IGBTs of the same leg
- Short Circuit across DC Link through a resistor

Contactor Faults

- De-latch fault
- Latch Fault
- Chattering Fault

Wire Faults

- Open Circuit
- Short Circuit
- Chattering of connection

Thermal Faults (integration in Progress)

- Motor Over temperature
- Battery Over temperature
- IGBT Over temperature

Test Case: (Motor Single-Phasing Fault)

The average torque remains the same and the same power is delivered by the remaining two stator ٠ phases. So, value of current in each phase increases and the third phase, being open, carries zero current.



Test Case: (Contactor Chattering Fault)

• The relay/Contactor shows a repeated ON-OFF behavior due to the chattering fault injected at t=4.5s. This leads to a pattern showing torque production upto reference torque i.e, 0.4 p.u. and consequent reduction of torque till zero.



KPIT.

Wire Faults :

Wire faults are the faults arising out of a loose or broken electrical connection which can be categorized into chatter, open and short circuit at either terminal

User has the flexibility to insert a selected type of wire fault at defined instants to check effect of faults at various instants

during the operation of the drive



| Subsystem (mask) | |
|---|--|
| This block implements 74 cases of wire terminal of wires to HV+, HV-, LV+, LV chattering connection as the case may | fault which includes connection of either - or open ckt along with a normal or a require |
| Parameters | |
| Select the type of fault to be included | Chatter Left(in)- Right(out) |
| Enter the time at which the fault needs | to be injected 2.5 |
| | |
| | 12 II |

| | | | | | | WITCHAILD | THIC UNIT | WITCF all 12 | WITCHAINS | chatterornor | ChatterOrNOTL | Chatteronnork |
|---|---|--|---|---|--------------|--|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| 0 No Fault | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (|) (| D | 0 0 |
| 1 Chatter Left(in)- Right(out) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (|) 1 | 1 | 0 0 |
| 2 left(in)- right(out) open circuit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (|) (| D | 0 0 |
| 3 left(in) open - right(out) HV GND | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (|) (| 0 | 0 0 |
| 4 left(in) open - right(out) HV GND chatter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) (|) (|) (| D | 0 1 |
| 5 left(in) open - right(out) LV GND | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) 1 | . (|) (| D | 0 0 |
| 6 left(in) open - right(out) LV GND chatter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |) 1 | . (|) (| D | 0 1 |
| 7 left(in) open - right(out) HV+ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . (|) (|) (| D | 0 0 |
| 8 left(in) open - right(out) HV+ Chatter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |) (|) (| 0 | 0 1 |
| 9 left(in) open - right(out) LV+ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | L (| 0 | 0 0 |
| 10 left(in) open - right(out) LV+ chatter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | | 0 | 0 1 |
| A tot | al of | 74 tur | wii Te o | re fa f the | ault > wi | case re f: | es c ault | an k to k | be p be ir | ermu Iserte | ited b >d | ased |
| A tot | al of ne na | 74 tur | wii e o | re fa f the | ault e wi | case re fa | es c ault | an k to k | be p be ir | ermu nserte | ited b ed. | ased |
| A tot on th | al of ne na | 74 tur | wii e o ₀ | re fa f the | ault e wi | case re fa | es c ault | an k to k | be p be ir | ermu nserte | ited b ed. | oased |
| A tot on th | al of ne na | 74 tur 1 | Wi e o | re fa f the | ault e wi | case re fa | es c ault | an k to k | be p | ermu nserte | ited b ed. | ased |
| A tot On th 64 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ chatter | al of ne na | 74 tur 1 | Wi e o 1 0 | re fa f the 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | es c ault | an k | be p | ermu nserte | ited b ed. | oased |
| A tot on th 64 left(in) LV+-right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+-right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+-right(out) LV+ chatter 67 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND | al of ne na | 74 tur 1 1 | Will Te O 1 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | ault | an k | be p | ermu | ited b ed. | 0 1 0 0 1 0 |
| A tot 64. left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65. left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66. left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ chatter 67. left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68. left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND chatter | al of ne na | 74 tur 1 1 0 | Will Te O 1 0 1 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | ault | an k | pe p | ermu | ited b | 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 |
| A tot 04 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 05 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 06 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ chatter 07 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 08 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 09 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND | al of | 74 tur 1 1 0 0 | Will | re fa f the 1 1 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | ault | an k | | ermu | ited b | 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 |
| A tot On th 64 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 69 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND | al of | 74 tur 1 1 0 0 0 | Will 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | ault | an k | pe p | ermu | ited b | 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 |
| A tot on th 64 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ chatter 67 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 71 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND chatter 71 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ | al of | 74 tur 1 1 0 0 0 | Will 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa | ault | an k | | | ited b | 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 |
| A tot on th 64 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND chatter 69 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ 71 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ 72 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ 64 chatter | al of ne na 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 74 tur 1 1 0 0 0 0 | Will 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | es c ault 1 1 0 0 0 0 | an k | | | ited b | 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 |
| A tot On th 64 left(in) LV+ - right(out) HV+ chatter 65 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ 66 left(in) LV+ - right(out) LV+ chatter 67 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 68 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) LV GND 70 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ 71 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ 73 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ chatter 73 left(in) LV+ chatter - right(out) HV+ chatter | al of ne na 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 74 tur 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 | Will Ce O 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | re fa f the 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | ault e wi | case re fa 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | es c ault 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 | an k | | | ited b | 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 |

KPIT

Test Case: (Open circuit Wire fault in Battery supply B+)

• The battery supply gets disconnected due to fault in wire and the stator currents are seen to decay gradually. The stator currents after the open circuit fault insertion is supplied by the dc link capacitor.



Test Case: (chatter Wire fault in contactor excitation coil)

• Chatter wire fault was injected into the relay excitation coil which is having no direct effect on the power system. The chatter lasting for 0.5s disrupts the drive behavior. The chatter results in failing of excitation, thereby preventing any electromechanical action. The drive restores its normal working condition after the fault is removed.



Wirefault Injection

Arriving at an optimum number of

 Pairwise interactions with three factors:

⁷⁴C₁ X ³C₁, ³C₁ X ¹⁰⁰C₁, ¹⁰⁰C₁ X ⁷⁴C₁

- The maximum number of rows to be considered is ${}^{100}C_1 \times {}^{74}C_1$
- All other pairwise interactions are included within the above number of cases
- Final number of cases to be screened • to be done based on domain expertise and probability of occurrence of the fault based on its physical location or proximity to certain subsystems

| | Factors | Levels | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Type of Wire fault | 74 | | | |
| er of cases | Time instance of injection | 3 | | | |
| | Wire selected for Injection | ~100 | | | |
| Levels of each factor were determined •Total Number of Exhaustive cases to be determined from the levels of each factor | Study Pairwise Interactions •Applying Taguchi Method to get maximum number of test cases considering all pairwise interactions •Maximum number of rows is given by the maximum pairs from the levels of factor | Domain based expertise to be used to reduce the number of rows Final screening will have reduced number of injection cases for output validation | | | |
| Exhaustive number of Wirefault cases | pairs | Final Screenir | | | |
| | | | | | |

Wire Fault Injection Algorithm

Algorithm architected to run a regression for every wire fault in every subsystem



K P I T

Highlights

| Importance | Experience and Challenges | Benefits |
|--|--|--|
| Virtual Failure Modes and Effect Analysis(FMEA) with occurrence detection and severity analysis | Need to achieve low simulation time for executing an iterative simulation process for different test cases without compromising | Minimization of time and resources used by the Manufacturers |
| Minimizing the occurrence of damages and mitigate the severity of the faults on physical system | much on fidelity Need for extensive data gathering for trying different frameworks for fault diagnostics | A better sense of trust and increased belief in the brand amidst the Users |
| Makes way for Future Diagnostics and further Prognostics | It presents a perfect blend of model-based designing and data sciences for fault diagnostics | |

KPI1

Ensures a safer and reliable mobility experience

Thank You

Let's reimagine mobility

-